

10-11/2011

C-II

- Everyone (even JCS?) agreed -  
once (it's known that) the minutes  
are operational  
with warheads

it's "too late to attack" too ones!

Public will regard it as too risky  
allies, since

even if JCS does not

(and "cost" of a SU firing, greater  
if a known risk)

But was the message!

But to wake up!

- RFR offer of a secret trade by Italy (with  
24-48<sup>hr</sup> ult.) could and have encouraged  
K to "keep looking" for a public trade  
for another 18 hours

"JFK interested from "no-trade"  
showing weakness

BUT confusion of "Mean Tomorrow"  
(unless K tells him!)

and of "no second shoot-down"

- we can't hold back JCS

What if time,

(RFR doesn't refuse this! TCS!

JFK can't hold back,

so K can't control

K can't or retal

→ NO TIME FOR "LOOKING" → OUT NOW

start AA... → get minutes out!



Was R ready for this before RFR-Dub report?

or was he ready to try to get  
positive response to Oct 27 offer? for another  
day? TELL RFR (Dub) - NO SECRET DEAL, ONLY PUBLIC

for another  
12-18 days;  
THEN fold, if  
necessary.

(He would have won, if AA didn't  
hit a US plane - if he'd gambled.

But - miss! deflation!  
U-2!

(Yes, mission was "close"!

like: "we  
went above  
blockade."

but his attack Sunday

from U-2

possible - he didn't know,  
until RFR-Dub, that JFR had walked  
against it - "at worst true...."

(+ not admitting  
mistake)

(Monday attitude

48 hrs. RFR: Tuesday mistake (followed by mission  
not, Monday?

"Yes, they're  
thru, by  
right  
& now!

& blockade  
is illegal  
(as well as  
in UN!)

|| U-2 report has been enough (if local  
command!) to cause K to stop  
bargaining, and now

News of it, during SU meeting? (Saturday  
when in Moscow?

and it led to ult. of JFR: BLUFF (he didn't have!  
to go in 48 hrs, just because JCS wanted it



VFR's "comment" to RFR —

was it just "equivocal," "equivocal"

or information for public trade

Did he tell RFR of Cordell play?

(No answer?)

see cables on RFR)

VFR had made clearance Sat.,

he proposed public trade to  
attack

but his excuse for not attacking

1) after Bat 27 letter

or 2) U-2 photo-down

"just an acceptable (to him, not to VCS!)

Bargain: no invasion.

(Proposal of K to

Over casualties (like 0-2)

→ survivors, detainees, (fewer...  
"troops")

in VFR in combat troops in VN

(Says - "no combat troops." US: 10,000

Have there been any clashes that did  
not lead to war?



9-21-11

VFK had not visited SIOP-62  
either in spring '61

OR SEPT '61

(or after Koyon - plan =

- take SAC off <sup>(with border)</sup> airline about!  
(tell recruits!)

- last delegation!

Now stopped Just IRBMs!

~~was discussed~~

Berlin plans?

(A response to NIE with Kilpatrick!

not new BNSP!

Berlin - should be only bluff

But - is USCP contingent

"Working in" - NO NCSS

Reexamine Poland,  
min det

"used for CF, promotion, etc.

Admiral low climbing on national and international

(NATO?)

(Unilateral SU comes with Kenny & new lines!)

"WBO, outside from Kenny..."!

Consult with (real man).

Keynote

TR

Paine

Eggenhardt

Schall

Daley

Rhodes?

Kerch

Rosenthal

Burstein

MHH

Alperwitz

Solomon

Kennedy

Memoranda

Keynote's memoranda

Mem? (Edwards)

Rebel

McGowan

Henson

Kyle Mitchell

Kipton

Memoranda:

Dalbey

Dalbey

Schles on RFK

Solomon Kennedy

Schles 1000 Days

Gene (ordered?)



Benjamin  
Dyer  
291

What has kept the great powers  
(i.e. NATO?) from war with each other  
Coron, "and conflict"  
any KIA - except Maj. Anderson?

Dyer

for 60+ years (+ some US men  
(longest time since  
modern <sup>Western</sup> state system is 17th century - 400 years?  
or perhaps some Med. city-states (3000 years?)  
(Korea: US-China?)

Hyp: Each sees the other (not itself)  
as potential madman, mad,  
capable of escalating to use of  
nukes, and esp. those...  
(not hinting to non-nukes,  
or to lin. nuc. war  
which would be mad.)

in C-I  
in Berlin  
in V4

in C-II Oct 16-20  
Oct 27  
(Oct 29)

What kept JFK from killing  
one Low soldier  
and K from wanting to risk  
an uncontrolled (if his) killing of  
one more US Soldier

(U-2 scandal: 1) it could happen  
again - he wasn't in control - shortly  
nuc. would be  
catalyst for war 2) if it did, US response to next one  
would be <sup>more</sup> dangerous <sup>more sure,</sup> begin + fast - then if  
it hasn't already happened (not).



## VCS unhappiness with JFK

Kass B-70

C-I <sup>2d</sup> - air strike

- air support: invasion

(Humboldt?)

- Vienna Berlin Wall;

- VH Oct '61

- (BNSP?) (Muscov, China;

- PALs

= ceiling on Moscow - no US

negotiation of Northwoods

- C-II - no air strike; blockade Oct 16-19

- no response to U-2

- no - invasion pledge on

Oct 28, use cruise (Kerry)

- no (air-strike) invasion, Oct 28-  
Nov 20

(if still didn't know of

SU troops, use warheads, too new,

deliberation (making of President

to see this was: was)

[VCS - wanted (?) to see SU total  
on Berlin or Turkey?]

1962 - "was a buter time" McNamara  
(true!)

<sup>ATB</sup>  
- Test was (American Univ. speech!)  
(near CTB)



Seeing closeness of me ever, thinks,  
Can we non-meltdowns/occidents / fulse  
dear

Can we honestly say: the mature,  
ignorance, miscalculating, political  
mistakes

shown in these

are all of the part?

"We're so much smarter now,  
wiser, older, more experienced,  
has proven to -- "

more confident

(Fukushima, Katrina / New Orleans

Iraq, Afghanistan

(creation / evolution / young earth

climate denial

economics theories of anti-recession

("bleeding" / leeches; no spending  
leading to deficit  
fear of inflation  
anti-regulation  
anti-prog. tax

Wf us  
9-11 before/  
until 2001?

Either absence of explanation is  
66 years is a miracle ("Miracles happen")  
or there is some unknown-to-us force  
that protects against it...

(It can't be as dangerous as it looks to  
me - like, driving roads in VN looked to  
others...

Richard Goodin was a Meany list.  
(and C-I ?!)

Where was he in C-II ?!  
(Schles ?)

335 Dyer: Head of N, Carter, Reagan (not, me ?!)  
to make FS more (or even WWK...)  
credible, more political what  
(and sell weapons to USSR, etc.)  
But what was its political what?

US in NATO

see  
FU threats

Berlin (Tully ? in C-II)

← Helmut

(Nunn is C-II  
a Berlin Crisis

(though VFR didn't want  
to test that -



Friday Oct 14, 2011 (49th Anniversary of  
O-2 flight!) (Sunday, 1962)

Big secrets from ExCom + by those who knew  
for 225 years

see my  
study 1964;  
EGL  
ExCom tops

- Morgenthau

- prior coordination (bser) (me)

= RFK secret offer of secret deal Oct 27  
(count!)

- RFK ultimatum (Bluff?)

we - RFK ult. on AA/SAM

- JFK favoring <sup>after ExCom p.d.!</sup> essential deal: Oct 20!

+ McN Oct 18 (Thursday) ...  
R

- JFK favoring <sup>after</sup> deal in ExCom Oct. 27 <sup>morning</sup>

- R-JFK - Cordell after deal

- ? (Dabney: RFK/JFK proposes deal  
Oct 26)

- Initial responses: Rife, Bush, McN

SU secrets:

Bundy! (no action)

Monday  
239

see Chris of McG, McN

- NORTHWOODS (see letter to Kennedy in '88-  
1992)

(+ Sept 4?)

(W) did K lie to JFK after Sept-13 Attests!

(F.M.A) Very Nasty

2nd to continue after Sept. 4/13

Should ~~the~~ through have raised issue

while Dab, he did know?

to JFK - since Law is also here of id  
has now on Oct 14?

Did G/K take JFK's failure to raise  
issue as evidence JFK would keep the  
secret, so K may have known?

Did CIA DOE know of 1) Memo  
2) exercises?

A new SANT plan in '61

RSACM

Special Group (Argental) SSA

ESL

SI/NIE

I

1964? Amir <sup>has</sup>

303, etc.

(34A)

describe?



EGL

10-14-11

NOTE: Wh EGL was  
big "considered" as ambass.  
in 1961 -

He told me - but th, again - for ambass -  
but not this in 1963!

he did first come off  
Magazine - violently  
ambass plans!  
(see McN!)

and  
ad th: provocative plans in  
1964 reborn  
EGL prov. plans - 1962

(curbing - commit ops  
- Neon (1962! 1964!)

(K on U-2 com

"did you want us  
to shoot your plans?"  
Well?)

("Kend" for Neon on Oct. 27-28?

- subtle shp (off Cuba) de bats!
- Strutano (like a false attack  
on de bats; or Plinku!)

and then - for Revision Office  
in 1965

just over 2 years from end  
of

// Which of his then best known part  
of Mogoo 1962-63?

No one told me!

Rufus Phillips?

who would still know?

Who's alive?

Herby?

(Scotter knows?)

Who was involved in Mogoo?!

(I've now thought to ask -

until this week, 10-14-11, 8PM

using Herby - rather

see bios of ECL

google Mogoo

case?

Kornbluh

Probus

Wick + Blight

Bremer



Prouty?

Who is capable of running Magazine  
well? in 1962

Fried? wif?

Is it time to review by ext. of  
his cap. of running affairs of  
JFK?

(Did I mention Magazine, in  
discussion of EGC with Stone?)

It's not in files, JFK!

Shackley  
& Chino!

Is the ~~for~~ Enterprise?

Earl Wilson? (?)

Sam Wilson?

Gen. Cornwall, DIA?! (for Cornwall)

Deficit of Magazine (like G-I) &

Castro?! → K's knowledge = Phil, Mag.

Did NYT, MSM know? Act?! Szabo?

Cecil Curry EGC

for Douglas

Prouty on Magazine? - Sent Fern!

Set: Alleged Assass Plots 19 75 (76?)

(West

Colly

Brenton

Bamford

Prouty

Wick + Ross!

Blum (Anti-  
Empire Report)

me

(JTM - Finley ma

(Comm Control)

Seaborn '64

Prevention '64

VCS = Castro is willing to see  
the news used by both!

and SLOP

(Europe?)

Bureau!)

different only in  
the <sup>preparation</sup> ~~reception~~ of one's

10M US deal

our nationals killed

[S-S Bloch? Europe?]

(number = same?)

10M Gulbani?



i. ~~noting~~, ed. C-II Revised

McN - VA shows America can wage limited war  
without raising public ire.

without getting JCS to merge

Pra can "ride the tiger"

the JCS

McN: "crisis management"

can wage JCS

and SU

"Cuban ship" → RT ("Anding a warship")  
TG (TCS)

HAK, '69'

to me

war is an era

threats of war

Art. of Coercion!

4 new war → genocide

(sin WWII functioning + A-bombs)

Galton as "threat" } not "negotiation of  
(like "fall of China") } valid, legitimate

would only because  
immoral & barbaric.

(SU did not intend to <sup>or large region</sup> ~~conquer~~ world and  
by force

like Hitler  
or Japanese  
or US in ME, PNAC

(after from Central, China. (Korea?))

VN was not a sign of this.

or Cuba!

or "crash ICBM program" (bluff!) <sup>K</sup>  
or bomber

or "Threat to West Europe"

K did make a big back-down — from his  
public offer which VFR right (would!) have  
accepted a few days later —

unless C shot down

or launched!



app. SO statements that any "defensive" weapons  
were being sent in Cuba was not to  
deceive JFK (tho<sup>R</sup> control an survey  
for that) but to enable him to say (to world)  
afterwards that they had not lied

Deviations of grand-based missiles  
were made only in private channel,  
none in public. that would still be  
hidden

DID R (NOT) EXPECT JFK to be  
foresaw — and act (crisis pattern)

Q: Why did he not tell JFK that  
it was a F.A. — too late for blockade  
or air strike or invasion  
was under "op" (esp. too over)

as he planned to do in November  
(only a week or two away!)

He could still back off saying soiled that —  
if JFK did not back off.

Why did he "give up" on Walt?

Why not above, instead?



Handling  
262

Lat right

2 previous

2 warnings

(JFK: 2 notes) below 16'6

Long

K: 3 notes

See C-II in light of VII 1964 34A

(

Blabber

don't

lies of some people

MEN — We had no intention of missing

did JCS know that?

Cuba/SU ~~assess~~ beliefs = JCS beliefs + recommendations  
from before

below 162 (Could JFK have simply "stated" the wishes  
as Bush + Nitze thought first  
and K (not all knew!)

(but for: 1) Don't feel ("Kenny as  
Pres.":)

2) JFK sent 4/13 ~~stated~~  
(too late)

did JFK really realize  
why K did it? (Cuba)  
he should have!

(sure K couldn't do it —  
despite McNamara and  
knowledge of Thurgood, +  
plans...)

(But he couldn't admit it  
even to whole ExComm!)

JFK knew; + Bush, McN, Anderson, <sup>Taylor</sup> ~~Smith~~?

JCS/DOD (+CIA) were preparing to attack Cuba!



VFR problem: like CBI 64-65

JCS wanted to attack

even now

and could change that he had refused

(though: too late, by

(Did the conclusion that FROGS/FKR's  
-like forces (+ MRBM's!) had missed?!

Blockade was on out of war

that could have triggered all-out war.

(see note.)

so was U-2 flights, no?!

(How did we justify those?)

X

Could we have alerted to SAC about this?

[POSSIBLE, Oct. 14?]

(or - led to low-level mission?)

163

Soc - "JFK thought blockade would hurt  
his re-election"

[BUT - less than (2) no action!

(b) air-strikes → war, was

(c) immediate offer of trade (Stevenson)

(d) Trade before election (ready to

threat of air could limit price of bargain. do it, if we.)

How did C-II offend 1962 election?

(He did achieve victory before election

(with his concessions

and his moderation to enable more

(i.e. more to Castro?)

kept SECRET for 25 years

(i.e. various plans/props?)

(VCS exhibitions?)

until Hurricane 1982 - 26 years

below

JFK to Rusk to Cardin

like K

urgency was driven by C shooting  
at neon

both wanted it to end on body:

- JFK couldn't stop neon (and couldn't count on

- K couldn't stop Castro (K stopped firing?)

(K just regard both warnings  
as bluffs - as the war?)

(Would JFK have struck if  
another plane shot down?)

Had to - forced VCS revolt,  
improvement



But of K had rejected RFR deal —

as VFR expected —

as other deal to attract (for VCS)

or use I about

(Don + Rudy didn't know  
about that: In judging he  
would have "tightened blockade")

(how would that end?)

(How long could K control C + AA —  
if at all?!)

VFR if had "tolerated" missiles (he couldn't  
just threaten without blockade  
without result)

(he could have learned a  
lesson/ lesson / lesson in 1962/63!

result was from Don Fuller!

(like L.R. from RFR:)

WJ didn't JFK (+ Hansen, ...)

like McCone — former SU minors

as defense! + ...

|| WJ didn't K beat off MRBHs after Sept. 4  
or 13th?

warnings

to save

Did anyone suggest this to K?!

Think of it?

(Keep troops + run two waves!

174 below

[high blockade (prior to trade)

= 1) shooting way out of Hansen

WAB out '64

Heinrich!

MCN - RT '65?

N - Christmas bombing!

prior to major deal

(so we can attribute deal to (in part)

toughness (not fear)

and "it's the best deal we could get"

McG: JTM/MCN Good doctor!

(with some damage: Mil. will say

"it was not the "extra mile,"

not the best deal)



Don Paul made C-I the ultimate K/T  
situation:

ON BOTH SIDES!  
K. Ben of Laos!

to avoid certain S-T personal (political  
and internal)

loss (after drawing the line)

Kibon (75)

VFR was ready to risk WWII, SKP  
(in blockade)

(though, to avoid that, later,  
he was prepared to accept "fingering-up"  
defects - AS IN LAOS, VN  
(an infect election, while VN  
A  
Congressional (press)

Did K consider possibility of blockade  
if US did discuss minors  
|| before to come clearly  
|| operational and and?

X or, VFR did this is sure, as F.A.!

[F.MA → US F.A.!]

showing resolve, "not" late the

(What if Khengko and on Oct 18, when  
and of VFR is told: "Too late!" Thy

For and one and! (or, bluff: MRBM  
(All advance 4 days ago, at 62) one and!)

K/T and F.MA

a F.MA ~~producers~~

disrupt, feels out  
/ ~~admission of loss~~  
(3)

1) anger, ambivalence

2) desperation, despair  
(of S-G from loss)

"Crisis" - danger

(Chomsky)

and "opportunity"

N

= big crisis story

TCS: C-II as

"fatal crisis"

Klaus 178

But add anger

Seng; JFK in C-II; hst/Korea;

Abgan (Bergman)

K/T: Querry

Korea (hst)

(not, the?)

1973

not, 1969

1972?

new crisis: EU

Berlin crisis

Deal hst/VCS carnival

new to defend Marxism  
at Chomsky Res.?

Klaus 1961  
(K+K)

K in 1962  $\equiv$  JFK in 1961 (EU/FS threats!)

DBP - unwounded (like Berlin, Cuba, Ross. Laos, Chomsky / Resurrection!)